arXiv:1509.08653v1 [math.CA] 29 Sep 2015

Double resonance for one-sided superlinear or singular nonlinearities

Andrea Sfecci

Abstract

We deal with the problem of existence of periodic solutions for the scalar differential equation $x'' + f(t, x) = 0$ when the *asymmetric* nonlinearity satisfies a *one-sided* superlinear growth at infinity. The nonlinearity is asked to be *next to* resonance and a Landesman-Lazer type of condition will be introduced in order to obtain a positive answer. Moreover we provide also the corresponding result for equations with a singularity and asymptotically linear growth at infinity, showing a further application to radially symmetric systems.

1 Introduction

In this paper we are going to study different types of scalar second order differential equations. We are interested in nonlinearities which, roughly speaking, are *next to resonance*. We will provide different results of existence of periodic solutions extending some previous theorems well-known in literature treating the case of *nonresonant* nonlinearities. We will first focus our attention on nonlinearities defined on the whole real line, in particular, we will start looking for periodic solutions of the scalar differential equation

$$x'' + f(t, x) = 0\,, \tag{1}$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function which is T-periodic in the first variable. Then, in Section 3, we will show how such a result can be adapted to the case of nonlinearities with a singularity. Finally, we will provide a further application to radially symmetric systems.

It is well-known by classical results [3, 17, 19] that the asymmetric oscillator

$$x'' + \mu x^+ - \nu x^- = 0$$

has nontrivial solutions if the couple (μ, ν) belongs to the so-called Dancer-Fucik spectrum

$$\Sigma = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathscr{C}_j\,,$$

where

$$\mathscr{C}_0 = \left\{(\mu,\nu) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,:\, \mu \geq 0, \nu \geq 0 \text{ such that } \mu\,\nu = 0\right\}$$

and

$$\mathscr{C}_j = \left\{(\mu,\nu) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,:\, \mu \geq 0, \nu \geq 0 \text{ such that } \frac{\pi}{T}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right) = \frac{1}{j}\right\}.$$

In particular, it consists of the two positive semi-axes $\mathscr{C}_0$ and of an infinite number of curves $\mathscr{C}_j$ having a vertical asymptote $\mu = \mu_j$ and an horizontal one $\nu = \nu_j$ with $\mu_j = \nu_j = (j\pi/T)^2$.

The study of *asymmetric nonlinearities* f satisfying

$$\nu_\downarrow \leq \liminf_{x\to-\infty} \frac{f(t,x)}{x} \leq \limsup_{x\to-\infty} \frac{f(t,x)}{x} \leq \nu_\uparrow\,,$$

$$\mu_\downarrow \leq \liminf_{x\to+\infty} \frac{f(t,x)}{x} \leq \limsup_{x\to+\infty} \frac{f(t,x)}{x} \leq \mu_\uparrow\,,$$

for some suitable constants in $[0,+\infty]$, providing the existence of periodic solutions to equation (1) presents a wide literature (see e.g. [2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 12, 17] or the survey [19] and the references therein). The existence is strictly related to the position of the rectangle $W = [\mu_\downarrow,\mu_\uparrow] \times [\nu_\downarrow,\nu_\uparrow]$ with respect to the set Σ:

- if $W \cap \Sigma = \varnothing$ (*non-resonance*) we have the existence of at least one periodic solution (cf. [2, 4, 7]),
- if W is bounded and $W \cap \Sigma = \{(\mu_\downarrow,\nu_\downarrow)\}$ or $W \cap \Sigma = \{(\mu_\uparrow,\nu_\uparrow)\}$ (*simple resonance*) the existence of a periodic solution can be obtained by the introduction of a Landesman-Lazer type of condition (cf. [6]) or of an Ahmad-Lazer-Paul type of condition (cf. [18]),
- if W is bounded and $W \cap \Sigma = \{(\mu_\downarrow,\nu_\downarrow),(\mu_\uparrow,\nu_\uparrow)\}$ (*double resonance*) the existence of a periodic solution can be obtained by the introduction of a *double* Landesman-Lazer type of condition (cf. [6, 8, 9, 11]) .

In this paper we will present an existence result of periodic solutions for the *double resonance* case in which W is unbounded. Such a situation presents three possible interpretations: nonlinearities with one-sided super-linear growth, nonlinearities with a singularity and scalar equations with impacts. In this paper we will present the first two situations, the last one has been considered by the author recently in [22]. We are going to treat nonlinearities satisfying the following asymptotic asymmetric behavior.

(A) *Assume*

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty} \frac{f(t,x)}{x} = +\infty \tag{2}$$

and that there exists a constant $c > 0$ and an integer $N > 0$ such that

$$\mu_N x - c \le f(t,x) \le \mu_{N+1} x + c\,, \tag{3}$$

for every $x > 0$ and every $t \in [0,T]$, where $\mu_j = (j\pi/T)^2$.

Notice that the specular case can also be considered as well. The case of a nonlinearity satisfying a *nonresonant* one-sided superlinear growth was studied e.g. in [7], but to the best of our knowledge an existence result for nonlinearities satisfying a *double* resonance condition has not been provided yet.

We are now ready to state the first of the main results of this paper. We address the reader to Sections 3 for corresponding theorems related to scalar equations with a singularity and to Section 4 for some applications to radially symmetric systems.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume* **(A)** *and the Landesman-Lazer conditions*

$$\int_0^T \liminf_{x\to+\infty} (f(t,x) - \mu_N x)\phi_N(t+\tau)\,dt > 0\,, \tag{4}$$

$$\int_0^T \limsup_{x\to+\infty} (f(t,x) - \mu_{N+1} x)\phi_{N+1}(t+\tau)\,dt < 0\,, \tag{5}$$

where ϕ_j is defined as

$$\phi_j(t) = \begin{cases} \sin(\sqrt{\mu_j}t) & t \in [0, T/j] \\ 0 & t \in [T/j, T]\,. \end{cases}$$

Then, equation $x'' + f(t,x) = 0$ has at least one T-periodic solution.

It is possible to relax the Landesman-Lazer conditions (4) and (5) in the previous theorem, requiring that nonlinearity f satisfies the following additional hypothesis.

(H) *For every $\tau \in [0,T]$ and for every $\zeta > 0$, consider the set $\mathcal{I}(\tau,\zeta) = [\tau - \zeta, \tau + \zeta]$ and the functions*

$$f_{1,\zeta,\tau}(x) = \min_{t\in\mathcal{I}(\tau,\zeta)} f(t,x) \qquad f_{2,\zeta,\tau}(x) = \max_{t\in\mathcal{I}(\tau,\zeta)} f(t,x)$$

with their primitives $F_{i,\zeta,\tau}(x) = \int_0^x f_{i,\zeta,\tau}(\xi)\,d\xi$. We assume that

$$\lim_{\zeta\to 0} \left(\lim_{x\to-\infty} \frac{F_{2,\zeta,\tau}(x)}{F_{1,\zeta,\tau}(x)} \right) = 1$$

uniformly in $\tau \in [0,T]$.

The variant of Theorem 1.1 is thus given by the next one.

Theorem 1.2 *Assume* **(A)**, **(H)** *and the Landesman-Lazer conditions* (4) *and* (5) *where* ϕ_j *is defined as*

$$\phi_j(t) = \left|\sin(\sqrt{\mu_j}t)\right| .$$

Then, equation $x'' + f(t,x) = 0$ *has at least one* T*-periodic solution.*

Let us briefly explain the main differences between the two types of Landesman-Lazer conditions adopted in the previous theorems. The one involved in Theorem 1.1 is stronger than the one introduced in Theorem 1.2. In fact, it is easy to verify that the first implies the second. Hence, we can replace the stronger Landesman-Lazer conditions of Theorem 1.1, with the weaker ones by introducing the additional assumption **(H)**. Roughly speaking, it requires that the superlinear behavior of the nonlinearity at $-\infty$ is an *infinity of the same order* when t varies as explained in the following example, where we show some nonlinearities satisfying (or not) such a hypothesis.

Example 1.3 *Suppose that there exists a function* $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *satisfying*

$$\lim_{x\to-\infty} \frac{h(x)}{x} = +\infty ,$$

such that

$$0 < \liminf_{x\to-\infty} \frac{f(t,x)}{h(x)} \leq \limsup_{x\to-\infty} \frac{f(t,x)}{h(x)} < +\infty .$$

Then, **(H)** *holds. As a particular situation, we can consider a nonlinearity* f *which can be split (when* $x < 0$*) as* $f(t,x) = q(t)h(x)+p(t,x)$ *with* $q(t) > 0$ *and* $\lim_{x\to-\infty} \frac{p(t,x)}{h(x)} = 0$ *uniformely in* t*.*

As a direct example, **(H)** *holds for nonlinearities* f *not depending on* t *when* $x < 0$*, or nonlinearities as* $f(t,x) = (1+\sin^2(t))x^5 + x^3$*, or* $f(t,x) = x^3 + \sin^2(t)x^2$*.*

Otherwise, for example, if $f(t,x) = x^3 + \sin^2(t)x^5$ *when* $x < 0$*, then* f *does not satisfy* **(H)**.

2 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

By degree theoretic arguments, the proof consists in finding a common *a priori bound* for all the T-periodic solutions of the differential equations

$$x'' + g_\lambda(t,x) = 0 , \tag{6}$$

where $\lambda \in [0,1]$ and

$$g_\lambda(t,x) = \lambda f(t,x) + (1-\lambda)h(t,x) ,$$

with

$$h(t,x) = \begin{cases} f(t,x) & x < -1 \\ \mu x + x[\,\mu x - f(t,x)] & -1 \le x \le 0 \\ \mu x & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

defining $\mu = (\mu_N + \mu_{N+1})/2$.

In particular we will find a $R_{good} > 0$ such that every T-periodic solution of (6) satisfies $x(t)^2 + x'(t)^2 < R_{good}^2$ for every t. In 1993, Fabry and Habets proved in [7] that there exists at least one T-periodic solution to (6) with $\lambda = 0$, by the use of degree arguments. In particular, they found a similar a priori bound R_{FH} for all the solutions of

$$x'' + \tilde{\lambda} h(t,x) + (1-\tilde{\lambda})(\mu x^+ - \nu x^-) = 0\,,$$

with $\tilde{\lambda} \in [0,1]$ and $(\mu,\nu) \notin \Sigma$, thus solving the case of nonresonant nonlinearities. Hence, simply asking $R_{good} > R_{FH}$, using Leray-Schauder degree theory, the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 easily follows. In Section 2.1, we will provide some preliminary lemmas which make use of some phase-plane techniques, then in Section 2.2 we will prove the existence of the common a priori bound.

2.1 Some preliminary lemmas

In this section we present some estimates on the behavior of the solutions to (6) provided by the use of some phase-plane techniques. We will not present all the proofs, we leave some of them to the reader as an exercise of mere computation, referring to other papers for comparisons. By the way, some of the statements are well-known in literature.

Let us set

$$f_1(x) = \min_{t\in[0,T]} f(t,x) \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(x) = \max_{t\in[0,T]} f(t,x)\,,$$

then, by (2), there exists $d < 0$ such that

$$f_1(x) < f(t,x) < f_2(x) < 0$$

for every $x < d$, with $\lim_{x\to-\infty} f_2(x)/x = +\infty$. Define the primitives $F_i(x) = \int_d^x f_i(\xi)d\xi$. Notice that $F_1 > F_2$ are decreasing functions when $x < d$.

For every solution x of equation (6) the couple $(x,y) = (x,x')$ is a solution of the planar system

$$\begin{cases} x' = y \\ -y' = g_\lambda(t,x)\,. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

We will say that

$$(x, y) \text{ is } R\text{-large, if } x^2(t) + y^2(t) > R^2 \text{ for every } t \in [0, T]\,, \tag{8}$$

where (x, y) is a solution of (7).

We will also consider the parametrization of such solutions in polar coordinates

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = \rho(t)\cos\theta(t) \\ y(t) = \rho(t)\sin\theta(t) \end{cases}$$

where the angular velocity and the radial velocity of 0-large solutions are given by

$$-\theta'(t) = \frac{y^2(t) + x(t)g_\lambda(t, x(t))}{x^2(t) + y^2(t)}\,,$$

$$\rho'(t) = \frac{y(t)[x(t) - g_\lambda(t, x(t))]}{\sqrt{x^2(t) + y^2(t)}}\,.$$

An easy computation gives us the following.

Remark 2.1 *There exists $R_0 > 0$ such that every R_0-large solution of (7) rotates clockwise (i.e. $-\theta'(t) > 0$).*

In what follows the constant R_0 will be enlarged in order to obtain some additional properties on R_0-large solutions.

Consider a R_0-large solution (x, y), then there exist some instants t_i (see Figure 1) such that

$$\begin{aligned} &x(t_1) = d, \quad y(t_1) > 0\,, \\ &x(t_2) = 0, \quad y(t_2) > 0\,, \\ &x(t_3) > 0, \quad y(t_3) = 0\,, \\ &x(t_4) = 0, \quad y(t_4) < 0\,, \\ &x(t_5) = d, \quad y(t_5) < 0\,, \\ &x(t_6) < 0, \quad y(t_6) = 0\,, \\ &x(t_7) = d, \quad y(t_7) > 0\,, \\ &x(t_8) = 0, \quad y(t_8) > 0\,. \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma can be obtained easily (see e.g. [11, 12] for details).

Lemma 2.2 *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, it is possible to find $R_\varepsilon > R_0$, such that every R_ε-large solution of (7) satisfies*

$$t_5 - t_1 \in \left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\mu_{N+1}}} - \varepsilon\,,\ \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\mu_N}} + \varepsilon\right) = \left(\frac{T}{N+1} - \varepsilon\,,\ \frac{T}{N} + \varepsilon\right)$$

and $t_7 - t_5 < \epsilon$.

Hence, we obtain easily the next one, choosing ε sufficiently small.



Figure 1: A R_0-large solution and the instants $t_1, \dots, t_8$.

Lemma 2.3 *It is possible to find R_0, such that every R_0-large T-periodic solution of (7) performs exactly N or $N+1$ rotations around the origin in the phase-plane.*

With a similar reasoning, we can prove the following.

Lemma 2.4 *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, it is possible to find $R_\varepsilon > R_0$, such that every R_ε-large solution of (7) satisfies*

$$\frac{T}{N+1} - \varepsilon < t_4 - t_2 < \frac{T}{N} + \varepsilon \text{ and } t_8 - t_4 < \varepsilon\,.$$

The following lemma gives us some informations on the dynamics when $x > d$.

Lemma 2.5 *It is possible to find R_0 large enough to have the existence of some positive constants θ_0 and ℓ_0 such that every R_0-large solution to (7), when written in polar coordinates, satisfies*

$$-\vartheta'(t) > \omega_0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\rho'(t)| \le \ell_0 \rho(t)$$

when $x(t) > d$. So that, for $\kappa = \ell_0/\omega_0$,

$$\left|\frac{d\rho}{d(-\theta)}\right| < \kappa\rho\,.$$

We leave the proof to the reader as an exercise. We refer to [12, 14] for details.

Remark 2.6 *A direct consequence of the previous lemma is that*

$$e^{-\kappa\pi/2}\, x(t_3) \le |y(t_j)| \le e^{\kappa\pi/2}\, x(t_3)\,, \ \textit{with } j = 2, 4\,,$$

if (x, y) *is* R_0*-large .*

Let us now focus our attention on the dynamics when $x < d$. We are going to prove that there exists a functions $\mathcal{T}$ such that $y(t_7) < \mathcal{T}(y(t_5))$, thus permitting to find a second function $\mathcal{L}$ such that $y(t_8) \le \mathcal{L}(y(t_2))$. We will have consequently a control on the behavior of solutions escaping from the origin. We start defining the energy functions

$$H_i(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 + F_i(x)\,, \quad i = 1, 2\,.$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}H_1(x(t), y(t)) < 0 \text{ if } y(t) > 0\,, \quad \frac{d}{dt}H_1(x(t), y(t)) > 0 \text{ if } y(t) < 0 \tag{9}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}H_2(x(t), y(t)) < 0 \text{ if } y(t) < 0\,, \quad \frac{d}{dt}H_2(x(t), y(t)) > 0 \text{ if } y(t) > 0\,. \tag{10}$$

These functions give us a control on the behavior of the solutions, see Figure 2a. In particular, we have that

$$-\sqrt{2F_1(x(t_6))} < y(t_5) < -\sqrt{2F_2(x(t_6))}\,,$$

$$\sqrt{2F_2(x(t_6))} < y(t_7) < \sqrt{2F_1(x(t_6))}\,,$$

thus giving us $y(t_7) < \mathcal{T}(y(t_5))$, where

$$\mathcal{T}(\upsilon) = \sqrt{2F_1\left(F_2^{-1}(\upsilon^2/2)\right)} \ge \upsilon\,.$$

By the estimate in Lemma 2.5, we have $\sqrt{y(t_5)^2 + d^2} < e^{\kappa\pi + a}\, y(t_2)$ and $y(t_8) < e^a \sqrt{y(t_7)^2 + d^2}$, where $a = \kappa \arcsin(d/R_0)$. Summing up, we obtain

$$y(t_8) \le \mathcal{L}(y(t_2)) \text{ with } \mathcal{L}(\upsilon) = e^a \sqrt{\mathcal{T}^2\left(\sqrt{e^{2(\kappa\pi+a)}\,\upsilon^2 - d^2}\right) + d^2}\,. \tag{11}$$

The same argument can be obtained by glueing together some *guiding curves* in the plane (x, y) following an idea introduced in [12] and developed in [13, 14, 21] in different situations. Figure 2b illustrates this idea.



Figure 2: (a) The level subsets χ_1 and χ_2 of the energy functions H_1 and H_2 control the behavior of the solution (x, y) of system (7) when $x < d$. (b) The solution, while it rotates around the origin, is *guided* by some curves, thus permitting to obtain the estimate in (11). The curves γ_1 and γ_2 can be found by using the estimate in Lemma 2.5.

Moreover, by (2), we can suppose R_0 sufficiently large to have

$$2F(-r) - r^2 > 2F(x) - x^2 \quad \text{for every } r \geq R_0 \text{ and } x \in (-r, d)\,. \tag{12}$$

In particular, once fixed $r \geq R_0$, we have

$$H_i(x, y) < H_i(-r, 0)\,, \quad i = 1, 2\,,$$

for every (x, y) satisfying $x^2 + y^2 < r^2$ and $x < d$. In other words, if R_0 is sufficiently large, the level subsets χ_i of the energy functions H_i passing through the point $(-R_0, 0)$ do not enter the open ball of radius R_0, see Figure 3b. By (2), we can also suppose that

$$2F_2(-R_0) > R_0^2 e^{2(\kappa\pi + a)}\,. \tag{13}$$

We can now state the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7 *There exists $\mathcal{R}(R_0) > R_0$ such that every T-periodic solution of (7) such that $x^2(t_0) + y^2(t_0) > \mathcal{R}(R_0)$ at a certain time t_0 is a R_0-large solution.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{R}(R_0) = \mathcal{L}^{N+2}(\hat{y})$ with $\hat{y} = e^a\sqrt{2F_1(-R_0) + d^2}$). Argue by contradiction and suppose the existence of a T-periodic solution (x, y) of (7), such that, for some instants τ_0 and τ_1 with $\tau_0 < \tau_1 \leq \tau_0 + T$, it satisfies $x^2(\tau_0) + y^2(\tau_0) = R_0^2$, and $x^2(t) + y^2(t) > R_0^2$ for $t \in (\tau_0, \tau_1)$ and $x^2(\tau_1) + y^2(\tau_1) > \mathcal{R}(R_0)$.

By Lemma 2.1, set $\tau_2 > \tau_0$ the smallest instant such that $x(\tau_2) = 0$ and $y(\tau_2) > 0$. We prove now that $y(\tau_2) < \hat{y}$.

First of all, it could not be $x(\tau_0) > 0$, or $x(\tau_0) > d$ with $y(\tau_0) < 0$: the solution would enter the ball of radius R_0 too early (see Figure 3a). In fact, using Lemma 2.5, we can find an instant $\tau_3 \in (\tau_0, \tau_2)$, with $x(\tau_3) = d$ and $-e^{\kappa\pi+a}R_0 < y(\tau_3) < 0$. Then, by (13) and the estimates in (9) and (10), we obtain the contradiction: the solution re-enters the ball guided by the level curve of $H_2(x, y) = H_2(d, -e^{\kappa\pi+a}R_0)$, denoted by χ_0 in Figure 3a.

The possibility of having $x(\tau_0) \leq d$ with $y(\tau_0) < 0$ is avoided by the *guiding* level curve $H_2(x, y) = H_2(-R_0, 0)$, denoted by χ_2 in Figure 3b. So, it remains the case $x(\tau_0) \leq 0$ with $y(\tau_0) \geq 0$. In this situation the *guiding* level curve $H_1(x, y) = H_1(-R_0, 0)$, denoted by χ_1 in figure 3b, controls the solution when $x < d$ and then by the estimate in Lemma 2.5 we obtain $y(\tau_2) < \hat{y}$.

Now, in the interval $[\tau_2, \tau_1]$ the solution performs a certain number of complete rotations around the origin, which is less than $N + 2$ thanks to Lemma 2.3. Hence, by (11), $y(\tau_1) < \mathcal{L}^{N+2}(y(\tau_2)) < \mathcal{L}^{N+2}(\hat{y}) = \mathcal{R}(R_0)$ thus giving a contradiction. ■

Some easy consequences of the previous lemma are the followings.

Remark 2.8 *If a T-periodic solution x of (6) satisfies $\|x\|_\infty > \mathcal{R}(R)$, then Lemmas 2.3, 2.5 and Remark 2.6 hold for $(x, y) = (x, x')$ solution of (7).*

Remark 2.9 *Suppose to have a sequence x_n of T-periodic solutions of (7) such that $\lim_n \max_{[0,T]}(x_n^2(t) + y_n^2(t)) = +\infty$ then $\lim_n \|x_n\|_\infty = +\infty$.*

The proof of this last remark easily follows by noticing that Lemma 2.7 holds similarly for every $R > R_0$.

Repeating some of the arguments contained in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we can see that $x(t_6) > \mathcal{M}(x(t_3))$ where $\mathcal{M}(\upsilon) = F_2^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}(e^{\kappa\pi+2a}\,\upsilon^2 - d^2)\right)$. In particular

$$\lim_{r\to+\infty} \frac{\mathcal{M}(r)}{r} = 0\,.$$

As an immediate consequence, we have the following lemma.



Figure 3: (a) If $x(\tau_0) > 0$ or $x(\tau_0) > d$ with $y(\tau_0) < 0$ then the solution re-enters the ball of radius R_0 before exiting the bigger ball of radius $\mathcal{R}(R_0)$, excluding this situation. (b) In the other cases, the level curves χ_1 and χ_2, respectively of the energy functions H_1 and H_2, drive the solutions, permitting us to find the desired estimate $y(\tau_2) < \hat{y}$.

Lemma 2.10 *Suppose to have a sequence x_n of T-periodic solutions to* (6)*, with $\lim_n \|x_n\|_\infty = +\infty$, then*

$$\lim_n \frac{\min x_n(t)}{\|x_n\|_\infty} = 0\,.$$

2.2 The a priori bound

The proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is given essentially by the validity of the following proposition.

Proposition 2.11 *There exists R_{good} sufficiently large, such that every T-periodic solution of* (7) *satisfies $x^2(t) + y^2(t) < R_{good}$ for every $t \in [0, T]$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction and suppose that there exists a sequence of T-periodic solutions (x_n, y_n) of (7), with $\lambda = \lambda_n$, such that $\max_{[0,T]} x_n^2(t) + y_n^2(t) > n^2$. We have immediately, by Remark 2.9, that $\lim_n \|x_n\|_\infty = +\infty$. Let us denote by $\bar{t}_n$ the point of maximum of x_n, i.e. such that $x_n(\bar{t}_n) = \|x_n\|_\infty$. We can assume, by Lemma 2.7, all these functions to be R_0-large. In particular, by Lemma 2.3, all the solutions must perform N or $N+1$ rotations around the origin.

Consider the sequence of normalized functions

$$v_n = \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|_\infty}\,,$$

which are solutions to

$$v_n'' + \frac{g_{\lambda_n}(t, x_n(t))}{\|x_n\|_\infty} = 0\,. \tag{14}$$

We have, by Lemma 2.10, $v_n \le 1 = v_n(\bar t_n)$ and $\lim_n \min v_n = 0$. Clearly, $v_n' = y_n/\|x_n\|_\infty$ and, by Remark 2.6, $\|v_n'\|_\infty \le e^{\kappa\pi/2}$. For this reason, up to subsequence, v_n converges *weakly* in H^1 and uniformly to a T-periodic non-negative function v, with $\|v\|_\infty = 1$. Moreover, we can assume that $\lambda_n \to \bar\lambda$ and that all the solutions v_n draw in the phase-plane the same number of rotations around the origin $K \in \{N, N+1\}$.

We can find some instants t_r^n and s_r^n such that the solutions v_n, in the phase-plane (x, y), cross the positive y semi-axis at t_r^n and the negative y semi-axis at s_r^n, i.e.

$$t_1^n < s_1^n < t_2^n < s_2^n < \cdots < t_K^n < s_K^n < t_{K+1}^n = t_1^n + T\,, \tag{15}$$

such that, for every $r \in \{1, \dots, K\}$,

$$x_n(t) > 0 \text{ for every } t \in (t_r^n, s_r^n)\,,$$

$$x_n(t) < 0 \text{ for every } t \in (s_r^n, t_{r+1}^n)\,.$$

Up to subsequences, we can assume that $t_r^n \to \check\xi_r$ and $s_r^n \to \hat\xi_r$ such that

$$\check\xi_1 \le \hat\xi_1 \le \check\xi_2 \le \hat\xi_2 \le \cdots \le \check\xi_K \le \hat\xi_K \le \check\xi_{K+1} = \check\xi_1 + T\,.$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have $\lim_n t_{r+1}^n - s_r^n = 0$, then $\hat\xi_r = \check\xi_{r+1}$. Let us simply denote $\xi_r = \hat\xi_r = \check\xi_{r+1}$. Clearly, $v(\xi_r) = 0$. By the estimate in Lemma 2.4, we can easily conclude that necessarily $\xi_{r+1} - \xi_r = T/K$.

Being $\|v\|_\infty = 1$ we are sure that there exists an index r such that $v > 0$ in the interval $J_r = (\xi_r, \xi_{r+1})$. Let us state the following claims, which will be proved in Section 2.3, for the reader convenience. We emphasize that the proof of these claims is a crucial part of the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Claim 2.12 *Suppose that v is positive in at least one instant of an interval $J_r = (\xi_r, \xi_{r+1})$, then $v(t) > 0$ for every $t \in J_r$.*

Claim 2.13 *If* **(H)** *holds, then we have $v > 0$ in the interval $J_r = (\xi_r, \xi_{r+1})$, for every index r. Moreover, the right and left derivatives at ξ_r exist with $-v'(\xi_r^-) = v'(\xi_r^+)$.*

We will now prove that v solves $v'' + \mu_K v = 0$ for almost every t. By the use of some functions with compact support in J_r, we can prove (see [10] for details) that $v \in H^2_{loc}(J_r) \cap C^1(J_r)$ is a *weak* solution of $v'' + p(t)v = 0$ in any interval J_r, where $p(t)$ is such that $\mu_N \le p(t) \le \mu_{N+1}$.

We need to show that $p(t) = \mu_K$ for almost every $t \in J_r$. Consider one of the intervals J_r in which v remains positive (Claim 2.12 guarantees that v remains positive in the whole interval J_r). We will simply denote the extremals of J_r with α and β, i.e. we set $(\alpha, \beta) = J_r$ for the reader convenience. We have $\beta - \alpha = T/K$. Introducing modified polar coordinates

$$\begin{cases} v(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_K}}\, \tilde\rho(t) \cos(\tilde\vartheta(t)) \\ v'(t) = \tilde\rho(t) \sin(\tilde\vartheta(t)) \end{cases}$$

we obtain, integrating $-\tilde\vartheta'$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$, if $K = N$

$$\pi = \sqrt{\mu_N} \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{p(t)v(t)^2 + v'(t)^2}{\mu_N v(t)^2 + v'(t)^2}\, dt \ge \sqrt{\mu_N}\, \frac{T}{N} = \pi$$

and if $K = N + 1$

$$\pi = \sqrt{\mu_{N+1}} \int_\alpha^\beta \frac{p(t)v(t)^2 + v'(t)^2}{\mu_{N+1} v(t)^2 + v'(t)^2}\, dt \le \sqrt{\mu_{N+1}}\, \frac{T}{N+1} = \pi\,,$$

thus giving us, in both cases, $p(t) = \mu_K$ for almost every $t \in [\alpha, \beta]$. In particular, for every $t \in J_r$, if **(H)** holds

$$v(t) = \sin\left(\sqrt{\mu_{N+1}}(t - \xi_r)\right), \tag{16}$$

thanks to Claim 2.13, while, if it does not hold we have only

$$v(t) = c_r \sin\left(\sqrt{\mu_{N+1}}(t - \xi_r)\right), \tag{17}$$

with $c_r \in [0, 1]$ and at least one of them is equal to 1, being $\|v\|_\infty = 1$. Moreover, we have necessarily $\lambda_n \to \bar\lambda = 1$.

We still consider the interval $(\alpha, \beta) = J_r$ for a certain index r. The function v is a solution of the Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} v'' + \mu_K\, v = 0 \\ v(\alpha) = 0, \quad v(\beta) = 0\,. \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\langle\, \cdot\,, \cdot\, \rangle$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$, respectively, the scalar product and the norm in $L^2(\alpha, \beta)$. Call ϕ_K the solution of the previous Dirichlet problem with $\|\phi_K\|_2 = 1$ and introduce the projection of x_n and v_n on the eigenspace generated by ϕ_K:

$$x_n^0 = \langle\, x_n\,,\, \phi_K \,\rangle\, \phi_K \quad \text{and} \quad v_n^0 = \langle\, v_n\,,\, \phi_K \,\rangle\, \phi_K\,.$$

Being $v = \|v\|_2\phi_K$, we have $v_n^0 \to v$ uniformly in $[\alpha,\beta]$ and $v_n^0 \geq 0$, for n sufficiently large.

Multiplying equation (6) by v_n^0 and integrating in the interval $[\alpha,\beta]$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\alpha^\beta g_{\lambda_n}(t,x_n(t))v_n^0(t)\,dt &= -\int_\alpha^\beta (x_n^0)''(t)v_n^0(t)\,dt\\ &= -\int_\alpha^\beta x_n^0(t)(v_n^0)''(t)\,dt = \int_\alpha^\beta \mu_K x_n^0(t)v_n^0(t)\,dt\\ &= \int_\alpha^\beta \mu_K x_n(t)v_n^0(t)\,dt\,.\end{aligned}$$

Defining $r_n(t,x) = g_{\lambda_n}(t,x) - \mu_K x$ we have

$$\int_\alpha^\beta r_n(t,x_n(t))v_n^0(t)\,dt = 0$$

and, applying Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_\alpha^\beta \limsup_{n\to\infty} r_n(t,x_n(t))v_n^0(t)\,dt \geq 0 \geq \int_\alpha^\beta \liminf_{n\to\infty} r_n(t,x_n(t))v_n^0(t)\,dt\,.$$

It is easy to see that for every $s_0 \in (\alpha,\beta)$ it is possible to find $\bar n(s_0)$ such that $x_n(s_0) > 0$ for every $n > \bar n(s_0)$. So, pointwise, for n large enough $r_n(t,x_n(t)) = \lambda_n f(t,x_n(t)) + (1-\lambda_n)\mu x_n(t) - \mu_K x_n(t)$. Hence, being $v_n^0 \to v$ and $\lambda_n \to 1$, we have, if $K = N$

$$\int_\alpha^\beta \liminf_{x\to+\infty}[f(t,x) - \mu_N x]v(t)\,dt \leq 0$$

and if $K = N+1$

$$\int_\alpha^\beta \limsup_{x\to+\infty}[f(t,x) - \mu_{N+1} x]v(t)\,dt \geq 0\,.$$

The previous estimates contradict the hypotheses in (4) if $K = N$ or in (5) if $K = N+1$. Notice that, by Claim 2.13, if **(H)** holds then this reasoning can be repeated for every interval J_r thus obtaining the contradiction being v as in (16) and not as in (17). ■

2.3 Proof of Claims 2.12 and 2.13

In this section we prove Claims 2.12 and 2.13. We have preferred to postpone their proof because the arguments we will use are totally independent by the rest of the proof of Proposition 2.11. This section is inspired by some recent results obtained by the second author in [22] for impact systems at resonance (see also [13]).

The functions $v_n = x_n/\|x_n\|_\infty$ solve equation (14), which we rewrite in a simpler form

$$v_n'' + h_n(t, v_n) = 0\,,$$

where, for every n,

$$|h_n(t,v)| \le d(v+1) \quad \text{for every } t \in [0,T] \text{ and } v \ge 0\,, \tag{18}$$

for a suitable constant $d > 0$.

Remark 2.14 *Let $[a,b] \subset J_r$ such that v is positive in $[a,b]$. The sequence v_n C^1-converges to v in $[a,b]$.*

Proof. We have already seen that $(v_n)_n$ is bounded in C^1, and by (18) as an immediate consequence we get $|v_n''(t)| \le |h_n(t,v_n)| \le 2d$ for every $t \in [a,b]$. So, being v_n bounded in C^2 in such a interval, by the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, we have that v_n C^1-converges to v in $[a,b]$. ■

We can now prove the first of the two claims.

Proof of Claim 2.12. Let $\bar s \in J_r$ be the point of maximum of v restricted to the interval J_r with $v(\bar s) = \bar v$. Suppose that v vanishes at $s_0 \in J_r$, and let U_0 be a closed neighborhood of s_0 contained in J_r. We assume without loss of generality that $U_0 \subset (\bar s, \xi_{r+1})$, the case $U_0 \subset (\xi_r, \bar s)$ follows similarly. Notice that $v_n'(t) < -e^{-\kappa\pi/2}\bar v/2 < 0$ in U_0 as a consequence of Lemma 2.5 (cf. Remark 2.6), so that the previous lemma forces v to be negative on a right neighborhood of s_0, thus giving us a contradiction. ■

The following lemma gives us the estimates on the left and right derivatives when v vanishes.

Lemma 2.15 *Suppose that v is positive in the interval $J_r = (\xi_r, \xi_{r+1})$, then the following limits exist*

$$v'(\xi_r^+) = \lim_{t \to \xi_r^+} v'(t) > 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad v'(\xi_{r+1}^-) = \lim_{t \to \xi_{r+1}^-} v'(t) < 0\,.$$

Proof. We will prove only the existence of the second limit, the other case follows similarly. In the interval J_r the function v has a positive maximum, thus we can assume that $\max_{J_r} v > M$ and $\max_{J_r} v_n > M$ for a suitable constant $M \in (0,1)$ for large indexes n. Using Remark 2.6, we obtain that $-v_n'(s_{r+1}^n) \in [M/c, cM]$, where $c = e^{\kappa\pi/2}$. So, we can assume up to subsequence that $\lim_n -v_n'(s_{r+1}^n) = \bar y > 0$. We now prove that $\lim_{t \to \xi_{r+1}^-} -v'(t) = \bar y$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $s \in (0, \epsilon)$. It is possible to find, for every n sufficiently large, that the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_{r+1}^n > \xi_{r+1} - s > s_{r+1}^n - 2\epsilon\,,\\
&|v_n'(\xi_{r+1} - s) - v'(\xi_{r+1} - s)| < \epsilon\,,\\
&|v_n'(s_{r+1}^n) + \bar y| < \epsilon\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (18), for every $\delta > 0$

$$|v_n'(s_{r+1}^n) - v_n'(s_{r+1}^n - \delta)| < 2d\delta\,,$$

thus giving us that

$$|v'(\xi_{r+1} - s) + \bar{y}| < (4d+2)\epsilon\,.$$

The previous inequality holds for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $s \in (0, \epsilon)$. The lemma is thus proved. ■

In what follows we study how the validity of hypothesis **(H)** gives more informations on the function v.

Lemma 2.16 *Assume* **(H)**, *then for every index* r,

$$\lim_n \frac{-v_n'(s_r^n)}{v_n'(t_{r+1}^n)} = \lim_n \frac{-x_n'(s_r^n)}{x_n'(t_{r+1}^n)} = 1\,.$$

Proof. Fix r and define the interval $\mathcal{I}_n = (s_r^n, t_{r+1}^n)$, whose length tends to zero for n large. Using the notation introduced in Figure 1, by the estimates in Lemma 2.5, we can obtain

$$a^-(y_n(t_4)) \le \sqrt{y_n(t_5)^2 + d^2} \le a^+(y_n(t_4))\,,$$

where $a^-(v) = e^{-a(v)}v$ and $a^+(v) = e^{a(v)}v$ with $a(v) = \kappa \arcsin(d/v)$. Moreover, by the same argument which gave us (11), we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{2,1}^{\mathcal{I}_n}(y_n(t_5)) \le y_n(t_7) \le \mathcal{T}_{1,2}^{\mathcal{I}_n}(y_n(t_5))\,,$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_{i,j}^{\mathcal{I}_n}(\alpha) = \sqrt{2F_i^{\mathcal{I}_n}\left((F_j^{\mathcal{I}_n})^{-1}(\alpha^2/2)\right)}\,,$$

with $F_i^{\mathcal{I}_n} = F_{i,\zeta_n,\tau_n}$ defined as in **(H)**, being $\mathcal{I}(\tau_n, \zeta_n) = \mathcal{I}_n$. Then, again by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$a^-\left(\sqrt{y_n(t_7)^2 + d^2}\right) \le y_n(t_8) \le a^+\left(\sqrt{y_n(t_7)^2 + d^2}\right)\,.$$

Notice that $\lim_{v\to\infty} a^{\pm}(v) = 1$, and by **(H)** we have also

$$\lim_n \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{T}_{i,j}^{\mathcal{I}_n}(\alpha)}{\alpha} = 1\,.$$

Hence, the desired estimate follows. ■

The previous estimate is the main ingredient we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.17 *Assume* **(H)**. *Suppose that v is positive for a certain $t_0 \in J_r = (\xi_r, \xi_{r+1})$, then ξ_r and ξ_{r+1} are isolated zeros. Hence, by Claim 2.12, as an immediate consequence v is positive in every interval J_r.*

Proof. We just prove that ξ_{r+1} is an isolated zero. By the argument presented in the proof of Lemma 2.15, if the left derivative $v'(\xi_{r+1}^-) = -\eta < 0$, then we can assume $-v_n'(s_{r+1}^n) > \eta/2$ for n large enough. Suppose by contradiction that there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \eta/8d)$, with d as in (18), such that $v(\xi_{r+1} + \varepsilon_0) = 0$. For every n large enough we have $|t_{r+2}^n - \xi_{r+1}| < \varepsilon_0/4$ and by Lemma 2.16 $v_n'(t_{r+1}^n) > \eta/2$. Being $|v_n''| \le 2d$ when v_n is positive we can show that if $s < \eta/4d$ then $v_n(t_{r+2}^n + s) > s\,\eta/4$. By construction $\xi_{r+1} + \varepsilon_0 = t_{r+2}^n + s_0$ for a certain $s_0 \in (\varepsilon_0/2, \eta/4d)$, so that we obtain $v_n(\xi_{r+1} + \varepsilon_0) = v_n(t_{r+2}^n + s_0) > \eta\varepsilon_0/8$ for every n large enough, thus contradicting $v_n \to v$. ■

We can now prove the remaining claim.

Proof of Claim 2.13. The first part of the statement is given by Lemma 2.17. The estimate on the derivatives easily follows by Lemmas 2.15 and 2.16, remembering that in the proof of Lemma 2.15 we have shown that $\lim_n = v_n'(s_r^n) = v'(\xi_r^-)$ and $\lim_n = v_n'(t_{r+1}^n) = v'(\xi_r^+)$. ■

3 Nonlinearities with a singularity and radially symmetric systems

In this section we provide a result of existence of periodic solutions to scalar differential equations with a singularity in the spirit of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In particular we consider the differential equation

$$x'' + f(t, x) = 0\,, \tag{19}$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \times (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function T-periodic in the first variable. The nonlinearity f presents a *strong* singularity at $x = 0$, in the following sense.

(A^0) *There exist $\delta > 0$ and two continuous functions $f_1, f_2 : (0, \delta) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$f_1(x) < f(t, x) < f_2(x) < 0, \qquad \text{for every } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } x \in (0, \delta)\,,$$

satisfying

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} f_i(x) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\delta f_i(\xi)\, d\xi = -\infty\,, \quad i = 1, 2\,.$$

We assume that the nonlinearity f has an asymptotically linear growth at infinity, as follows.

(A^∞) *There exist a constant $c > 0$ and an integer $N > 0$ such that*

$$\mu_N x - c \le f(t,x) \le \mu_{N+1} x + c\,,$$

for every $x > 1$ and every $t \in [0,T]$.

The corresponding of Theorem 1.1 can be reformulated for the differential equation (19) in this way.

Theorem 3.1 *Assume (A^0) and (A^∞) and the Landesman-Lazer conditions*

$$\int_0^T \liminf_{x\to+\infty} (f(t,x) - \mu_N x)\phi_N(t+\tau)\,dt > 0\,, \tag{20}$$

$$\int_0^T \limsup_{x\to+\infty} (f(t,x) - \mu_{N+1} x)\phi_{N+1}(t+\tau)\,dt < 0\,, \tag{21}$$

where ϕ_j is defined as

$$\phi_j(t) = \begin{cases} \sin(\sqrt{\mu_j}t) & t \in [0, T/j] \\ 0 & t \in [T/j, T] \end{cases}$$

extended by periodicity. Then, equation (19) *has at least one T-periodic solution.*

As in the previous section, we can introduce an additional assumption on the behavior of f near zero, in order to obtain a different version of the previous theorem.

($\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}$) *For every $\tau \in [0,T]$ and for every $\zeta > 0$, consider the set $\mathcal{I}(\tau,\zeta) = [\tau - \zeta, \tau + \zeta]$ and the functions*

$$f_{1,\tau,\zeta}(x) = \min_{t\in\mathcal{I}(\tau,\zeta)} f(t,x) \qquad f_{2,\tau,\zeta}(x) = \max_{t\in\mathcal{I}(\tau,\zeta)} f(t,x)$$

with their primitives $F_{i,\tau,\zeta}(x) = \int_\delta^x f_{i,\tau,\zeta}(\xi)\,d\xi$. We assume that

$$\lim_{\zeta\to 0} \left(\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{F_{2,\tau,\zeta}(x)}{F_{1,\tau,\zeta}(x)} \right) = 1$$

uniformly in $\tau \in [0,T]$.

Hence, the corresponding of Theorem 1.2 is the following.

Theorem 3.2 *Assume* (A^0), (A^∞) *and* $(\widetilde{\mathrm{H}})$, *and the Landesman-Lazer conditions* (20) *and* (21) *where,* ϕ_j *is defined as*

$$\phi_j(t) = \left|\sin(\sqrt{\mu_j}t)\right| .$$

Then, equation (19) *has at least one* T*-periodic solution.*

Let us here show some nonlinearities satisfying (or not) hypothesis $(\widetilde{\mathrm{H}})$, cf. Example 1.3.

Example 3.3 *Suppose that there exists a function* $h : (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ *satisfying*

$$\lim_{x\to 0^+} h(x) = -\infty ,$$

such that

$$0 < \liminf_{x\to 0} \frac{f(t,x)}{h(x)} \le \limsup_{x\to 0} \frac{f(t,x)}{h(x)} < +\infty .$$

Then, $(\widetilde{\mathrm{H}})$ *holds. As a particular case, suppose that* f *can be split (for* $0 < x < 1$*) as* $f(t,x) = q(t)h(x) + p(t,x)$ *with* $q(t) > 0$ *and* $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{p(t,x)}{f(x)} = 0$ *uniformly in* t*. In particular we can consider nonlinearities not depending on* t *when* $0 < x < 1$*, or nonlinearities as* $f(t,x) = -(1+\sin^2(t))x^{-5} - x^{-3}$*, or* $f(t,x) = -x^{-3} - \sin^2(t)x^{-2}$*.*

Otherwise, if for example $f(t,x) = -x^{-3} - \sin^2(t)x^{-5}$ *when* $0 < x < 1$*, then* f *does not satisfies* $(\widetilde{\mathrm{H}})$*.*

The previous theorems can be viewed as the generalization of the result provided by del Pino, Manásevich and Montero in [5] to nonlinearities *near resonance*. Recently an existence result by the introduction of Lazer-Leach conditions has been proved by Wang in [23], and we recall the result obtained by Fonda and Garrione in [10] where the authors provide a Landesman-Lazer condition *on one side*, roughly speaking, with respect to the smaller eigenvalue. In particular the previous theorems can be viewed as an answer to [10, Remark 2.5].

3.1 Proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2

The proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, follows step by step the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, with some wise adjustments. Hence, we will provide only a sketch. We refer to [15] for detailed computations in this setting.

Let us underline that, up to a rescaling of the x variable, it is not restrictive to assume $\delta = 1$ in (A^0). In [15], Fonda and Toader provide an *a priori bound* to solutions of equation (19) when the nonlinearity satisfies (A^0) and the *nonresonance* condition

$$\mu_N < \mu_\downarrow \le \liminf_{x\to+\infty} \frac{f(t,x)}{x} \le \limsup_{x\to+\infty} \frac{f(t,x)}{x} \le \mu_\uparrow < \mu_{N+1} .$$

As a particular case we find the nonlinearity

$$h(t,x)=\begin{cases} f(t,x) & x<1/2\\ (2x-1)\mu x+(2-2x)f(t,x) & 1/2\le x\le 1\\ \mu x & x>1\end{cases}$$

with $\mu=(\mu_N+\mu_{N+1})/2$. Arguing as in Section 2, we can introduce a family of differential equations

$$x''+g_\lambda(t,x)=0\,, \tag{22}$$

as in (6), and by standard arguments in degree theory, the proof can be easily obtained when we can find an *a priori bound* to the solutions of (22). Arguing as in Section 2.1 we consider the corresponding system

$$\begin{cases} x'=y\\ -y'=g_\lambda(t,x)\,.\end{cases} \tag{23}$$

which is now defined for $(x,y)\in(0,+\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$. We consider the function

$$\mathcal{N}(x,y)=\frac{1}{x^2}+x^2+y^2\,,$$

so that, as in (8), we say that

$$(x,y) \text{ is } \mathcal{N}_0\text{-large, if } \mathcal{N}(x,y)>\mathcal{N}_0 \text{ for every } t\in[0,T]\,. \tag{24}$$

All the results contained in Section 2.1 (wisely adjusted) can be reformulated by the study of the phase portrait when $0<x<1$ and when $x>1$. We list some of them for the reader convenience.

Lemma 3.4 *There exists $\mathcal{N}_0$ sufficiently large such that every $\mathcal{N}_0$-large solution of (23) rotates clockwise around the point $(1,0)$ performing exactly N or $N+1$ rotations.*

Lemma 3.5 *For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ such that every $\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$-large solution (x,y) of (23), performing a complete rotation around the point $(1,0)$ in the interval $[t_0,t_2]$, satisfies*

$$t_1-t_0\in\left(\frac{T}{N+1}-\varepsilon,\frac{T}{N}+\varepsilon\right) \text{ and } t_2-t_1<\varepsilon\,,$$

for a certain $t_1\in(t_0,t_2)$, being $x>1$ in the interval (t_0,t_1) and $0<x<1$ in (t_1,t_2).

We refer to [15] for the detailed computation giving us the previous lemmas. We simply underline that the dynamics when $0<x<1$ (respectively when $x>1$) remember the dynamics of the *one-sided superlinear* scalar equation previously studied when $x<0$ (resp. when $x>0$). By the construction of some guiding functions we can prove the following estimates. Notice that the use of guiding functions was adopted also in [15], by the use of a *general method* presented by Fonda and the author in [12].

Lemma 3.6 *There exists $\mathscr{N}(\mathcal{N}_0) > \mathcal{N}_0$ such that every T-periodic solution of (23) such that $\mathcal{N}(x(t_0), y(t_0)) > \mathscr{N}(\mathcal{N}_0)$ at a certain time t_0 is a $\mathcal{N}_0$-large solution.*

Lemma 3.7 *Suppose to have a sequence x_n of T-periodic solutions to (23) such that $\lim_n \max_{[0,T]} \mathcal{N}(x_n(t), y_n(t)) = +\infty$ then $\lim_n \|x_n\|_\infty = +\infty$.*

All the four preceding lemmas are the main ingredients to obtain the desired a priori bound which is given by the next statement.

Proposition 3.8 *There exists $\mathcal{N}_{good}$ sufficiently large, such that every T-periodic solution of (23) satisfies $\mathcal{N}(x(t), y(t)) < \mathcal{N}_{good}$ for every $t \in [0, T]$.*

The proof follows the one of Proposition 2.11: we assume the existence of a sequence of solutions *arbitrarily large* in the sense of (24) and we introduce the normalized sequence $v_n = x_n/\|x_n\|_\infty$ converging to a certian non-negative function v. We can introduce the instants t_r^n and s_r^n as in (15) requiring now that $x_n(t) > 1$ for every $t \in (t_r^n, s_r^n)$ and $0 < x_n(t) < 1$ for every $t \in (s_r^n, t_{r+1}^n)$. Similarly, using Lemma 3.5, we can obtain the sequence of instants ξ_r such that $v(\xi_r) = 0$, being $v_n(t_r^n) = v_n(s_r^n) = 1/\|x_n\|_\infty \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. So, whenever we need to consider an interval when v is positive, we can assume the index n sufficiently large to have $x_n > 1$ and argue similarly as in Section 2.2. The analogues of results in Section 2.3 follows similarly.

4 Final remarks

We desire now to show an application of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 to radially symmetric systems thanks to a general technique introduced in [15, 16] by Fonda and Toader. We consider the differential equation

$$\mathbf{x}'' + f(t, |\mathbf{x}|)\frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|} = 0\,, \tag{25}$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathrm{f} : \mathbb{R} \times (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, T-periodic in the first variable. By the radial symmetry of the equation, every solution of (25) is contained in a plane, so we can pass to polar coordinates and consider solutions to the following system

$$\begin{cases} \rho'' - \dfrac{L^2}{\rho^3} + f(t, \rho) = 0 \qquad \rho > 0 \\ \rho^2 \vartheta' = L\,, \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

where $L \in \mathbb{R}$ is the angular momentum. We are interested in the existence of periodic solutions performing a certain number ν of revolutions around the origin in the time kT and T-periodic in the ρ variable, i.e. such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho(t + T) = \rho(t)\,, \\ &\vartheta(t + kT) = \vartheta(t) + 2\pi\nu\,. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Applying the Fonda-Toader *general principle for rotating solutions* (cf. [16, Theorem 2]), we obtain as a corollary the following theorem, extending to nonlinearities *near resonance* the previous result provided in [15, Theorem 2] by the same authors.

Theorem 4.1 *If the nonlinearity f in* (25) *satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 (or Theorem 3.2) then for every integer ν, there exists an integer k_ν such that for every integer $k \geq k_\nu$ equation* (25) *has a kT-periodic solution $x_{k,\nu}$ which makes exactly ν revolutions around the origin in the period kT. In particular the corresponding solution of system* (26) *satisfies the periodicity conditions* (27)*. Moreover there exists a constant R, independent by the choice of ν, such that $1/R < |\mathbf{x}_{k,\nu}(t)| < R$ for every t and if $L_{k,\nu}$ denotes the angular momentum of the solution $x_{k,\nu}$, then $\lim_{k\to\infty} L_{k,\nu} = 0$.*

References

[1] D. Bonheure and C. De Coster, *Forced singular oscillators and the method of lower and upper solutions*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **22** (2003), 297–317.

[2] A. Capietto, J. Mawhin and F. Zanolin, *A continuation approach to superlinear periodic boundary value problems*, J. Differential Equations **88** (1990), 347–395.

[3] E.N. Dancer, *Boundary-value problems for weakly nonlinear ordinary differential equations*, Bull. Australian Math. Soc. **15** (1976), 321–328.

[4] P. Drabek and S. Invernizzi, *On the periodic boundary value problem for forced Duffing equations with jumping nonlinearity*, Nonlinear Anal. **19** (1986), 643–650.

[5] M. del Pino, R. Manaásevich and A. Montero, *T-periodic solutions for some second order differential equations with singularities*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **120A** (1992), 231–243.

[6] C. Fabry, *Landesman-Lazer conditions for periodic boundary value problems with asymmetric nonlinearities*, J. Differential Equations **116** (1995), 405–418.

[7] C. Fabry and P. Habets, *Periodic solutions of second order differential equations with superlinear asymmetric nonlinearities*, Arch. Math. **60** (1993), 266–276.

[8] A. Fonda, *Positively homogeneous hamiltonian systems in the plane*, J. Differential Equations **200** (2004), 162–184.

[9] A. Fonda and M. Garrione, *Double resonance with Landesman–Lazer conditions for planar systems of ordinary differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **250** (2011), 1052–1082.

[10] A. Fonda and M. Garrione, *A Landesman-Lazer type of condition for asymptotically linear secondo order equations with a singularity*, Proc. R. Soc. Edin. **142** (2012), 1263–1277.

[11] A. Fonda and J. Mawhin, *Planar differential systems at resonance*, Adv. Differential Equations **11** (2006), 1111–1133.

[12] A. Fonda and A. Sfecci, *A general method for the existence of periodic solutions of differential equations in the plane*, J. Differential Equations **252** (2012), 1369–1391.

[13] A. Fonda and A. Sfecci, *Periodic bouncing solutions for nonlinear impact oscillators*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **13** (2013), 179–189.

[14] A. Fonda and A. Sfecci, *Periodic solutions of weakly coupled superlinear systems*, preprint.

[15] A. Fonda and R. Toader, *Radially symmetric systems with a singularity and asymptotically linear growth*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), 2485–2496.

[16] A. Fonda and R. Toader, *Periodic solutions of radially symmetric perturbations of Newtonian systems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012), 1331–1341.

[17] S. Fučík, *Boundary value problems with jumping nonlinearities*, Časopis Pèst. Mat. **101** (1976), 69–87.

[18] M.-Y. Jiang, *A Landesmam-Lazer theorem for periodic solutions of the resonant asymmetric p-laplacian equation*, Acta Math. Sinica **21** (2005), 1219–1228.

[19] J. Mawhin, *Resonance and nonlinearity: a survey*, Ukranian Math. J. **59** (2007), 197–214.

[20] R. Ortega, *Linear motions in a periodically forced Kepler problem*, Portugal. Math. **68** (2011), 149–176.

[21] A. Sfecci, *Positive periodic solutions for planar differential systems with repulsive singularities on the axes*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **415** (2014), 110–120.

[22] A. Sfecci, *Periodic impact motions at resonance of a particle bouncing on spheres and cylinders*, preprint.

[23] Z. Wang, *Lazer-Leach type conditions on periodic solutions of semilinear resonant Duffing equations with singularities*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **65** (2014), 69–89.

Andrea Sfecci
Università Politecnica delle Marche
Dipartimento di Ingegneria Industriale e Scienze Matematiche
Via Brecce Bianche 12
60131 Ancona
Italy
e-mail: sfecci@dipmat.univpm.it

Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C25

Keywords: periodic solutions, resonance, superlinear growth, Landesman-Lazer condition, singularity, radially symmetric systems.